Developer's Years of Experience versus Code Quality: An Empirical Study

Federal University of Minas Gerais

Jefferson G. M. Lopes Johnatan Oliveira Eduardo Figueiredo



2) Systematic Literature Review and Results

3) Empirical Study





Cross-validation

Workana

kil	ls
	kil

Skills	Certifications	Projects worked	Experience years
PHP		14 projects	l year
MySQL		9 projects	l year
HTML5		8 projects	1 year
WordPress		8 projects	1 year
JavaScript		7 projects	l year
Bootstrap		1 project	l year
E-commerce		1 project	1 year

GitHub

Find a repository		Type -	Language 👻	Sort -
	Select language		×	
Business-Management-System Public	✓ All			
Enterprise management system based on https://github.com/thiagopena	JavaScript			
optimizations.	Vue			
● JavaScript ☆1 😵 5 Updated last month	Python			
	C++			
Institutional-Website Public Institutional website for sales of mattresses and armchairs. • Vue Updated on Jan 5	Dart			
	HTML			
	Java			
Monty-Hall-Problem Public				
Vue Updated on Jan 4				
Calculator developed with Vue framework				
Non the law law to A				

Domain-Generator Public

Project of a domain generator using Vue.js, GraphQL and Node

From Workana to Github





Related works:

- Dieste et al. : there is little correlation between experience and external software quality. Research group: about 100 ppl
- Askar et al. : there is a positive correlation between student's experience and efficiency (external software quality). Research group: about 200 ppl

There are more works in the field, but with conflicting results.

What we will do differently:

- Explore a larger set of languages, not only Java;
- Automatic extraction of users, no surveys;

Systematic Literature Review

Systematic Literature Review

Developer's experience is a key self-declared metric that we have available.

- What the literature defines as "experience" in this context?
- And what about quality?
- They can be related somehow? Or there's really no consensus?

In total, 12 studies met all the criteria:

Some of them:

- Predicting Risk of Software Changes;
- An exploratory study on the influence of developers in technical debt;
- An Empirical Study of Developer Quality;
- Periodic Developer Metrics in Software Defect Prediction;
- Influence of Developer Factors on Code Quality



Number of Publications versus Year of Publication

Year of Publication



RQ1: How the developer experience is evaluated?





RQ2: How the software quality is evaluated?





RQ3: How the developer experience affects code quality?

Bugs as metric Tech Debt as metric 6 Studies 2 0 More experience increases More experience decreases Inconclusive quality quality

Overall Experience and Code Quality

Study Result

RQ3: How the developer experience affects code quality?

Project Experience and Code Quality Bugs as metric Tech Debt as metric 6 Studies 2 0 More experience increases More experience decreases Inconclusive quality quality

Study Result

RQ3: How the developer experience affects code quality?





Study research questions:

- RQ1: Is there a relationship between developer experience and code quality?
- RQ2: Is there a type of issue that is more common among developers with different levels of experience?



Opportunities:

- There's a lack of studies tracking career experience and code quality after 1999;







Distribution of Experience Levels by Programming Language

Programming Language



Distribution of Experience Across All Programming Languages



Average Issue Density for Blocker by Experience Level

BLOCKER: Bug with a high probability to impact the behavior of the application in production. For example, a memory leak, or an unclosed JDBC connection are BLOCKERs that *must be fixed immediately*.



Average Issue Density for Critical by Experience Level

CRITICAL: Either a bug with a low probability to impact the behavior of the application in production or an issue that represents a security flaw. An empty catch block or SQL injection would be a CRITICAL issue. The code *must be reviewed immediately*.

UFMG



Average Issue Density for Major by Experience Level

MAJOR: A quality flaw that can highly impact the developer's productivity. An uncovered piece of code, duplicated blocks, or unused parameters are examples of MAJOR issues.



Average Issue Density for Minor by Experience Level

MINOR: A quality flaw that can slightly impact the developer's productivity. For example, lines should not be too long, and "switch" statements should have at least 3 cases, are both be considered MINOR issues.

U F *M* G



Average Issue Density for Info by Experience Level

INFO: Neither a bug nor a quality flaw, just a finding.



Average Issue Density for Maintainability by Experience Level

Code smell (maintainability domain)





Average Issue Density for Reliability by Experience Level

Bug (reliability domain)



Average Issue Density for Security by Experience Level

Vulnerability (security domain)

Issue Density: JavaScript vs PHP





Python Issue Density (per 1000 LOC)

Issue Density: JavaScript vs Python

JavaScript Issue Density (per 1000 LOC)



Issue Density: PHP vs Python



In summary:

- Some of the issue types are correlated with experience levels;
- Most of the issue types are not correlated;
- The analysis is still being done, but:
 - RQ1: Is there a relationship between developer experience and code quality?
 - Yes, for some types of issues;

Thank you



Any Questions?

